STEWART ALSOP



1000 SIXTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON 6, D.C. NATIONAL 8-2152

> Fishers Island, August 25th. 1965

Dear Arthur:

Thanks for sending me your version of the row over the eyeball-toeyeball piece. You're the first person ever to ask me for my version of
the matter which, in view of the reams which were written about it at the
time, seems to me an interesting commentary on the profession. Your version
is not the whole stoxy of the episode--- that will have to await the Alsop
memoirs (Vol. III, INTO THE SUNSET). After E. Sorensen's version appeared,
I was tempted to write a one-pager about the business, but Charlie Eartlett
dissuaded me. I'm still tempted, though, to note that we made on error of
ommission on the piece. We had listed Sorensen, correctly, among the doves.
We then learned that therexxemizable the White House would be happier if
Sorensen's name were omitted, since the fact that he had seen a conscientious
objector during the war had recently come to light, and had made a stir.
So, no doubt wrongly, we took his name out of the list of doves.

Three points I'd like to make. I don't have the piece with me, but I am Stevenson's proposal to trade in Guantan-sure that it specifically mentions that the state of the stat

for our side.

Point two. In the heart of this section, there is a sentence in our piece to the effect that Stevenson would have traded in the Guantanamo and foreign bases "only after" the Cuban missiles had been neutralized. It was said at the time that Charlie and I never bothered to get Stevenson's version of events. In fact, we consulted three times with Caayton Fritchee (neither of us had more than a modding acquaintance with Stevenson). Clayton, for reasons still a bit mysterious to me, put great emphasis on the fact that Stevenson would have abandoned Guantanamo and the foreign missile bases "only after" the Soviets had withdrawn their Cuban missiles. In When we wrote the final draft, I called Clayton, read him the pertinent sentence, and asked him if it fairly represented the Stevenson's position. He said it did, and the sentence appeared verbatim in the text.

Point three. We were told at the time --- and I see nothing in your text to contradict it --- that only Stevenson seriously proposed using both the Guantanamo base and the foreign missile bases as trading counters to get the Soviet missiles cut of Cuba. Surely therefore he proposes a course proposes to find the foreign missile bases as trading counters to get the Soviet missiles cut of Cuba. Surely therefore he proposes a course profitably to find that approved by the Excom Consensus.

The layout, as you say, which I had not seen before publication, made the piece seem more sensational than it was --- I imagine you've occasionally suffered from the over-eagerness of the editors. But of course Charlie's real relationship to the President was the Featon reason for the xx row, which I confess amazed me.

Best,